



Bennadi D, et al. Oral health related quality of life. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2013 Jan;3(1):1-6.

Bhandari M, et al (2011). Evidence-Based Orthopedics . Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell.

Bidra AS, et al. Clinical outcomes of implant abutments in the anterior region: a systematic review. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2013 Jun;25(3):159-76. Epub 2013 May 3.

Bormann KH, et al. Biomechanical evaluation of a microstructured zirconia implant by a removal torque comparison with a standard Ti-SLA implant. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012 Oct;23(10):1210-6. Epub 2011 Nov 14.

Buser D, et al. 10-year survival and success rates of 511 titanium implants with a sandblasted and acid-etched surface: a retrospective study in 303 partially edentulous patients. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2012 Dec;14(6):839-51.

Buser D, et al. Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants. A histomorphometric study in miniature pigs. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1991 Jul;25(7):889-902.

Degidi M, et al. Inflammatory infiltrate, microvessel density, nitric oxide synthase expression, vascular endothelial growth factor expression, and proliferative activity in peri-implant soft tissues around titanium and zirconium oxide healing caps. *J Periodontol.* 2006 Jan;77(1):73-80.

Den Hartog L, et al. Treatment outcome of immediate, early and conventional single-tooth implants in the aesthetic zone: a systematic review to survival, bone level, soft-tissue, aesthetics and patient satisfaction. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2008 Dec;35(12):1073-86.

Fischer K, et al. Prospective 10-year cohort study based on a randomized controlled trial (RCT) on implant-supported full-arch maxillary prostheses. Part 1: sandblasted and acid-etched implants and mucosal tissue. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2012 Dec;14(6):808-15. Epub 2011 Oct 18.

Gahlert M, et al. A prospective clinical study to evaluate the performance of zirconium dioxide dental implants in single-tooth gaps. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2015 Apr 1. [Epub ahead of print] Gahlert M, et al. In vivo performance of zirconia and titanium implants: a histomorphometric study in mini pig maxillae. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012 Mar;23(3):281-6. Epub 2011 Aug 2.

Gahlert M, et al. A comparison study of the osseointegration of zirconia and titanium dental implants. A biomechanical evaluation in the maxilla of pigs. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2010 Dec;12(4):297-305.

Institut Straumann AG (2011). Preclinical evaluation report. Data on file.

Institut Straumann AG (2014b). Data on File.

Institut Straumann AG (2014c). Patient Survey. Data on File.

Institut Straumann AG (2015). A Prospective Open Label Single Arm Study to Evaluate the Performance of Straumann Monotype FullCeram (Zirconium Dioxide) Implants in Single Tooth Gaps in the Maxilla and Mandible. In: *ClinicalTrials.gov* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000- [cited 2016 Jan 12]. Available from: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02163395> NLM Identifier: NCT02163395.

Jung RE, et al. The effect of all-ceramic and porcelain-fused-to-metal restorations on marginal peri-implant soft tissue color: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 2008 Aug;28(4):357-65.

Klages U, et al. Dental aesthetics, self-awareness, and oral health-related quality of life in young adults. *Eur J Orthod.* 2004 Oct;26(5):507-14.

Lindquist LW, et al. A prospective 15-year follow-up study of mandibular fixed prostheses supported by osseointegrated implants. Clinical results and marginal bone loss. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 1996 Dec;7(4):329-36.

Lötvall J, et al. and on behalf of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (AAAAI), the American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (ACAAI), the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI), and the World Allergy Organisation (WAO) (2012). We call for iCAALL: International Collaboration in Asthma, Allergy and Immunology. *Allergy*, 67: 449–450.

Pithon MM, et al. Do dental esthetics have any influence on finding a job? *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2014 Oct;146(4):423-9.

Rocuzzo M, et al. Early loading of sandblasted and acid-etched implants: a randomized-controlled double-blind split-mouth study. Five-year results. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2008 Feb;19(2):148-52. Epub 2007 Dec 7.

Shalabi MM, et al. The effects of implant surface roughness and surgical technique on implant fixation in an in vitro model. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2006 Apr;17(2):172-8.

Sicilia A, et al. Titanium allergy in dental implant patients: a clinical study on 1500 consecutive patients. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2008 Aug;19(8):823-35.

Simpson CR, et al. Incidence and prevalence of multiple allergic disorders recorded in a national primary care database. *J R Soc Med.* 2008 Nov;101(11):558-63.

Tetè S, et al. Collagen fiber orientation around machined titanium and zirconia dental implant necks: an animal study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2009 Jan-Feb;24(1):52-8.

Thyssen JP, et al. 2010. Metal allergy—a review on exposures, penetration, genetics, prevalence, and clinical implications. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 23(2): 309-318.

Welander M, et al. The mucosal barrier at implant abutments of different materials. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2008 Jul;19(7):635-41. Epub 2008 May 19.

May not be available in all countries, subject to regulatory approval and market release. Please ask your Straumann Territory Manager for more information and availability.

International Headquarters

Institut Straumann AG
Peter Merian-Weg 12
CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland
Phone +41 (0)61 965 11 11
Fax +41 (0)61 965 11 01

Straumann North American Headquarters

Straumann USA, LLC
60 Minuteman Road
Andover, MA 01810
Phone 800/448 8168 (US) • 800/363 4024 (CA)
Fax 978/747 2490
www.straumann.us • www.straumann.ca

ifu.straumann.com

© Straumann USA, LLC 2016. All rights reserved.
Straumann® and/or other trademarks and logos from Straumann® that are mentioned herein are the trademarks or registered trademarks of Straumann Holding AG and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

NAMLIT.1100 1/16 V1



Straumann® PURE
Ceramic Implant

Strong and reliable

Esthetic

Clinically proven

Metal-free



Nowadays, patients are more conscious of their health and esthetics than ever before. Healthy-looking oral soft tissues and bright teeth are considered a prerequisite for a beautiful smile and self-esteem, adding directly to a health-related quality of life (Bennadi and Reddy, 2013; Klages et al., 2004; Pithon et al., 2014). The Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant is ivory-colored like a natural tooth root and provides a highly esthetic and metal-free alternative to implants made out of titanium.

DID YOU KNOW?

Zirconium ≠ Zircon ≠ Zirconia

- Zirconium is a grayish white metal
- Zircon is a mineral
- Zirconia (Zirconium dioxide, ZrO₂) is a ceramic powder

The Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant is made out of 100% metal-free yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystal (Y-TZP).

STRONG AND RELIABLE

Ceramic components have been used successfully in orthopedic surgery for over 35 years (Bhandari et al., 2011) and are also used by the aerospace industry for their enhanced toughness and dimensional stability even at high temperatures. However, the stability of ceramic dental implants has long been questioned. To overcome these objections, Straumann® has established an innovative manufacturing process followed by a rigorous 100% proof test where every single Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant is tested mechanically before leaving the production site. In this test, forces that exceed the maximum human bite capability are applied, and only

implants that pass the test are delivered to the dentist. The outstanding quality becomes evident when comparing the Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implants with other commercially available ceramic implants. The Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant (Ø 4.1 mm and Ø 3.3 mm) shows significantly higher resistance to forced rupture (Fig. 1). Forced rupture is the most frequent cause of ceramic implant failure. The reliability of the Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant has been clinically verified in a multi-center study, where no implant fractures were reported during a follow-up period of 24 months (NCT # 02163395).




Fig. 1: Static fracture strength tests according to ISO14801 show that Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implants have significantly higher resistance to forced rupture than competitor implants in reduced and regular diameter (data on file).

DID YOU KNOW?

- **STATIC STRENGTH:** is the ultimate fracture resistance of the implant system. The higher the implant's static strength, the lower the risk for rupture when extreme forces applied e.g. biting on a hard nut.
- **FATIGUE STRENGTH:** is the long-term capability of the implant to withstand normal masticatory forces. In addition to the excellent static strength (Fig. 1), the Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant shows fatigue strength in a test that corresponds over 20 years of implant use (data on file).

ESTHETIC

Most patients perceive treatment to be a success when they are satisfied with their overall dentofacial appearance after treatment. Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implants are ivory-colored, which closely resembles natural tooth roots – an advantage in patients with a thinner mucosal biotype or a high lip line smile (Bidra and Rungruanant, 2013; Jung et al., 2008).

Favorable soft tissue formation

Zirconia shows favorable formation of epithelial attachment, as well as indications of lower bacterial accumulation compared to titanium surfaces (Degidi et al., 2006; Institute

Straumann AG, 2014b; Welander et al., 2008). This is an important observation since bacterial adhesion to implant surfaces can lead to bone loss in the tissues surrounding the implants (Lindquist et al., 1996). Studies show minimal gingival recession after placement of zirconia implants (Tete et al., 2009), as well as excellent esthetic outcomes around the implant after one year follow-up (Fig. 2) (Gahlert et al., 2015).

DID YOU KNOW?

Zirconia shows favorable formation of the epithelial attachments compared to titanium surfaces, and low plaque adhesion.



Fig. 2A: In this 29-year-old female patient, a vertical fracture of tooth 9 led to marginal inflammation, which was particularly noticeable due to the high smile line

Fig. 2B: Situation after implant at loading at 1 year. The use of a one-piece Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant satisfies not only treatment success but also the desire of the patient for a completely metal-free solution in an otherwise caries-free set of teeth.

Fig. 2C: Situation after 3 years.

Courtesy of Dr. Michael Gahlert and Prof. Heinz Kniha.

CLINICALLY PROVEN

Surface modifications play an important role in the osseointegration process and thereby influence implant strength as well as aging resistance (Buser et al., 1991; Shalabi et al., 2006). The surface of the Straumann® PURE ceramic implant, Straumann® ZLA®, features a topography characterized by macro- and micro-roughness similar to the proven Straumann® SLA® surface (Fig. 3) (Bormann et al., 2012; Gahlert et al., 2012; Institut Straumann AG, 2011). With over 20 years of experience and more than 100 clinical and preclinical studies, the Straumann SLA® surface is one of the most successful and best clinically documented surfaces in dental implantology, with proven osseointegration properties (Buser et al., 2012; Fischer and Stenberg, 2011; Rocuzzo et al., 2008). In preclinical studies, the ZLA® surface demonstrated similar healing patterns, healing times and osseointegration in terms of peri-implant bone density and bone-to-implant contact (BIC) as seen for the SLA® surface (Gahlert et al., 2012; Gahlert et al., 2010). A recent multicenter clinical trial reported survival and success rates of 97.6% for the Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant after one year (Gahlert et al., 2015), which is a value within the range of reported one-year survival and success rates for titanium or titanium alloy implants (den Hartog L. et al., 2008).



97.6%
implant survival and success rate at 12 months




Fig. 3: The ZLA® surface combines the micro- and macro-roughness of the SLA® surface with reliable osseointegrative properties. The torque-out value of the Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant is equivalent to SLA® implants from titanium. (Bormann, et al., 2012)

DID YOU KNOW?

The new Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implant is the result of

- > 9 years of research and development
- with an investment of over 100,000 man hours

METAL-FREE

The prevalence of allergic diseases has increased worldwide in recent years (Lotvall et al., 2012), with a growing number of patients suffering from multiple allergies (Simpson et al., 2008). Although hypersensitivity to titanium is quite uncommon (Sicilia et al., 2008), people can have allergic reactions to metals such as nickel and cobalt (Thyssen and Menne, 2010). As a result, health-conscious patients or

patients with susceptibility to allergic reactions may request a metal-free alternative to titanium implants. Straumann® PURE Ceramic Implants are made out of zirconia (yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystal, Y-TZP), which is biocompatible and guaranteed 100% metal-free.

DID YOU KNOW?

A recent patient survey in Germany and Switzerland involving more than 250 participants revealed that:

- Patients would prefer a light-colored ceramic implant over a grayish colored titanium implant, even if it involves higher treatment costs (Institut Straumann AG, 2014c).