

BIOMATERIALS

Straumann® Biomaterials > Bone Grafts

cerabone® plus

Mastering application comfort.





cerabone® plus

Sticky bone out of the blister

cerabone® plus is a combination of the established bovine bone grafting material cerabone® and sodium hyaluronate. Upon contact with saline or blood, it forms a sticky bone material, leading to excellent handling comfort by allowing both easy uptake and delivery to the site of application.



FEATURES AND BENEFITS

Sticky and malleable after hydration	Thanks to the pronounced liquid binding capacities of hyaluronate, cerabone® plus, upon hydration, forms a connected and malleable mass that provides easier application compared to conventional particulate bone grafts. cerabone® plus allows easy uptake, precise particle application, efficient defect filling and easy defect contouring.
Ideal liquid binding capacity of hyaluronic acid	Hyaluronic acid is capable to incorporate a liquid volume 1000 times larger than the molecule itself. It is highly hygroscopic, biodegradable, and will be quickly decomposed in the early phase of healing.
Human-like bone structure of bone mineral component	The bone mineral component (cerabone®) displays human-like bone structure with three-dimensional pore-network and rough surface. The osteoconductive scaffold promotes the adhesion and invasion of bone forming cells, resulting in complete integration of the granules into newly formed bone matrix.
1200 °C safety and biocompatibility	Utilizing heat and water only, the 1200 °C heating process of cerabone® removes all organic components and leads to a pure natural bone mineral. Gamma-irradiation ensures final sterility of cerabone® plus.
Long-term volume stability	With limited degradation, cerabone® plus provides predictable and viable structural support to the augmented site, which is particularly advantageous for support of the soft tissue in the esthetic region, for preservation of the ridge shape and to protect autologous or allogenic bone from resorption.

INDICATIONS

- Alveolar ridge augmentation/reconstruction
- Filling of bone defects (including after root resection, apicoectomy or cystectomy)
- Filling of extraction sockets to support alveolar ridge preservation
- Sinus floor elevation
- Filling of periodontal bone defects
- Filling of extraction sockets as part of immediate implantations
- Filling of peri-implant bone defects

Available in the following sizes

Code	Description	Product
1810	cerabone® plus, 0.5 – 1.0 mm, 0.5 ml	cerabone® plus small granules
1811	cerabone® plus, 0.5 – 1.0 mm, 1.0 ml	
1820	cerabone® plus, 1.0 – 2.0 mm, 0.5 ml	cerabone® plus large granules
1821	cerabone® plus, 1.0 – 2.0 mm, 1.0 ml	



botiss biomaterials GmbH
Hauptstrasse 28
15806 Zossen
Germany

PROPERTIES

Attribute	Description
Origin	Bovine cancellous bone. Sodium hyaluronate obtained from bacterial fermentation
Composition	Natural bone mineral (calcium phosphate) and non-crosslinked sodium hyaluronate
Degradation kinetics	Bone mineral component: Only superficial degradation. Long-term volume stability. Hyaluronic acid: Complete resorption by enzymatic degradation within the first weeks following implantation.
Healing/integration time	6–9 months
Storage temperature	5–25 °C
Shelf life	3 years



Practical blister pack for convenient hydration

APPLICATION AND HANDLING

Hydration

cerabone® plus must be hydrated before use (see table below). Approx. 0.5 ml of liquid (corresponds to about 10 – 12 drops) must be added to 1 ml of bone substitute material. Hydration can be performed with sterile saline solution or patient blood.

Hydration Protocol

Code	cerabone® plus volume	Hydration with
1810 and 1820	0.5 ml	approx. 0.25 ml liquid
1811 and 1821	1.0 ml	approx. 0.5 ml liquid

Handling tips

- Add liquid carefully dropwise and mix liquid with cerabone® plus until the desired texture is obtained
- Remove excess liquid from the defect site prior to the application
- Fixate the graft with a barrier membrane

Healing time and re-entry

A minimum healing period of six months is recommended before re-entry to ensure stable integration of particles. The appropriate healing time is patient- and site-dependent and has to be decided by the clinician based on the assessment of the patient's individual situation.

CLINICAL APPLICATION

Bone augmentation and soft tissue support in the esthetic zone with cerabone® plus and Jason® membrane.



1. Initial situation



2. Soft tissue healing after extraction



3. Straumann® BLT in place



4. GBR using layering technique: autogenous bone chips covered by cerabone® plus



5. Jason® membrane fixed to stabilize bone grafts and prevent soft tissue ingrowth



6. Result at 6 months after treatment

Pictures courtesy of Dr. Andoni Jones, Dublin, Ireland.

cerabone® plus

- Sticky and malleable after hydration
- Efficient defect filling and time-saving application
- Easy defect contouring
- Minimized displacement of single granules during application
- Long-term volume stability



REFERENCES

1. Pröhl A et al. 2021. In Vivo Analysis of the Biocompatibility and Bone Healing Capacity of a Novel Bone Grafting Material Combined with Hyaluronic Acid. *Int J Mol Sci.* May 1;22(9):48. 2. cerabone® plus usability test, data on file. 3. Customer survey cerabone® plus including 156 clinicians, data on file. 4. Tadic and Epple 2004. A thorough physicochemical characterisation of 14 calcium phosphate-based bone substitution materials in comparison to natural bone. *Biomaterials.* 25(6):987-94. 5. Seidel and Dingeldein 2004. cerabone® – Bovine Based Spongiosa Ceramic Seidel et al. *Mat.-wiss. u. Werkstofftech.* 35:208–212 6. Vanis et al. 2006. Numerical computation of the porosity of bone substitution materials from synchro-tron micro computer tomographic data *Mat.-wiss. u. Werkstofftech.* 37, No. 6. 7. Tadic et al. 2004. Comparison of different methods for the preparation of porous bone substitution materials and structural investigations by synchrotron I-computer tomography *Mat.-wiss. u. Werkstofftech.* 35, No. 4. 8. Trajkovski et al. 2018. Hydrophilicity, Viscoelastic, and Physicochemical Properties Variations in Dental Bone Grafting Substitutes. *Materials (Basel).* 30;11(2). pii: E215. 9. Tawil et al. 2016. Sinus Floor Elevation Using the Lateral Approach and Bone Window Repositioning: Clinical and Radiographic Results in 102 Consecutively Treated Patients Followed from 1 to 5 Years. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 31(4):827-34. 10. Tawil et al. 2018. Sinus Floor Elevation Using the Lateral Approach and Window Repositioning and a Xenogeneic Bone Substitute as a Grafting Material: A Histologic, Histomorphometric, and Radio-graphic Analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 33(5):1089–1096. 11. Riachi et al. 2012. Influence of material properties on rate of resorption of two bone graft materials af-ter sinus lift using radiographic assessment. *Int J Dent.* 2012:737262. 12. Lorean et al. 2014. Nasal floor elevation combined with dental implant placement: a long-term report of up to 86 months. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 29(3):705-8. 13. Fienitz et al. 2016. Histological and radiological evaluation of sintered and non-sintered deproteinized bovine bone substitute materials in sinus augmentation procedures. A prospective, randomized-con-trolled, clinical multicenter study. *Clin Oral Investig.* 21(3):787-794. 14. Khojasteh A et al. 2016. Tuberosity-alveolar block as a donor site for localised augmentation of the maxilla: a retrospective clinical study. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2016 Oct;54(8):950-955. 15. John et al. 2009 Perspectives in the selection of hyaluronic acid fillers for facial wrinkles and aging skin. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* Nov 3;3:225-30. 16. Stern R, Asari AA, Sugahara KN. Hyaluronan fragments: an information-rich system. *Eur J Cell Biol.* 2006 Aug;85(8): 699-715. doi:10.1016/j.jecb.2006.05.009. Epub 2006 Jul 5. PMID: 16822580. 17. Kyyak et al. 2021 The Influence of Hyaluronic Acid Biofunctionalization of a Bovine Bone Substitute on Osteoblast Activity In Vitro. *Materials (Basel).* 2021 May 27;14(11):2885. 18. Rothamel et al. 2012. Biocompatibility and biodegradation of a native porcine pericardium membrane: results of in vitro and in vivo examinations. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 27(1):146-54. 19. Brown et al. 2000. New studies on the heat resistance of hamster-adapted scrapie agent: threshold survival after ashing at 600 degrees C suggests an inorganic template of replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 28;97(7):3418-21. 20. Murugan et al. 2003. Heat-deproteinated xenogeneic bone from slaughterhouse waste: Physico-chemical properties *Bulletin of Material Science Volume 26, Issue 5, pp 523–528.* 21. Perić Kačarević et al. 2018 Purification processes of xenogeneic bone substitutes and their impact on tissue reactions and regeneration. *Int J Artif Organs.* 2018 Nov;41(11):789-800. 22. cerabone® usability test, data on file. 23. Barbeck M et al. 2014. High-Temperature sintering of xenogeneic bone substitutes leads to increased multinucleated giant cell formation: In vivo and preliminary clinical results. *The Journal of oral implan-tology.* 4110.1563/aaid-joi-D-14-00168.

Distributed by

International Headquarters

Institut Straumann AG
Peter Merian-Weg 12
CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland
Phone +41 (0)61 965 11 11
Fax +41 (0)61 965 11 01
www.straumann.com

Legal manufacturer

botiss biomaterials GmbH

Hauptstr. 28
15806 Zossen, Germany
Phone +49 (0)33769 / 88 41 985
Fax +49 (0)33769 / 88 41 986
www.botiss-campus.com
www.botiss-dental.com