

Stage 1 | Assessment and treatment planning


Step 2 Treatment planning




Assessment and treatment planning




Surgical procedures



Prosthetic procedures



Aftercare and maintenance



In clinic with patient Office / Lab work

Contents

Introduction	4
Learning objectives	5
1. Contacting the patient's physician	6
2. Study model analysis	6
2.1 Procedure	7
3. Radiographic planning	14
3.1 Outline important landmarks	14
3.2 Evaluate and assess	14
3.3 Measure the available vertical bone height and select the appropriate implant type, diameters and lengths	16
4. Risk assessment	19
5. Visit planning and cost proposal	21
5.1 Establish a diagnosis and prognosis of the remaining dentition to discuss with the patient	21
5.2 Elaborate a treatment plan and calculate the amount of chairside time per visit and total number of visits required by the patient	21
5.3 Calculate costs and write up a cost proposal	22
Appendix	23



Introduction

A thorough patient assessment is the basis of any dental treatment plan. You can finalize the diagnosis and prognosis of the oral situation, and undertake treatment planning in your office with the information gathered from the initial visit with the patient.

1. Contacting the patient's physician



Systematically carry out treatment planning by following points 1 – 5.


2. Study model analysis



3. Radiographic planning



4. Risk assessment



5. Visit planning and cost proposal



Click on the graphic to go directly to the chapter.



Learning objectives

Be able to use the information gathered from the patient's history and examination to:

-  Identify and select a patient who is suitable for straightforward implant treatment.
-  Complete the risk assessment considering the patient's medical and dental history.
-  Perform a thorough analysis of the study models and radiographs to finalize the diagnosis and prognosis of the dentition, determine which implants to use, and plan restorative options including any necessary adjunctive therapy before implant treatment.
-  Use the Straumann® X-ray Template and the formula to calculate the distortion of the radiographic image for accurate planning.
-  Be able to discuss with your dental technician the proposed case and any necessary restorative planning options.
-  Prepare the treatment plan, costs and options for discussion with the patient at the next visit.



1. Contacting the patient's physician



The knowledge of former and current diseases, surgeries, and medications helps to identify patients who are at risk of complications for dental implant treatment. If there are any doubts, consult the physician or medical specialist treating the patient for further clarification¹.

Confirm the medical status with the patient's physician if necessary.

2. Study model analysis



A detailed and accurate space assessment is often difficult to do intraorally. It is recommended to obtain initial impressions to fabricate and mount study models, in order to properly perform diagnostic and planning steps.

The study models may be used for:

- Analysis of the occlusion
- Assessment of available space
- Assessment of other factors that might not be easily noted or recorded at the chairside
- Creation of a diagnostic wax-up
- Creation of an X-ray template



[Video: Study model analysis](#)

Select the implant diameters, implant type, and positions. Discuss with your [dental technician](#) on how to design the restoration so that the necessary oral hygiene measures can be carried out.

Use study models to properly analyze and plan the treatment.



Discuss with your dental technician about the design of the final restoration.



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis



A few important considerations to note about planning implants and their abutments:

- The implant abutments should always be axially loaded.
- The future implants should be planned with a restoratively-driven implant position in mind.
- The long axis of the implants should be aligned with the cusps of the opposing tooth.

To establish the ideal topographical situation, axial orientation, and choice of implants, we recommend the following to be fabricated by the [🔗 dental technician](#):

- A **diagnostic wax-up** in the edentulous area
- A **resin-based surgical drill template** to help determine the correct implant position during implant surgery

2.1 Procedure



Apply the [🔗 Straumann® Diagnostic T](#) and calipers on the study model to obtain an initial measurement of the interproximal distance of bone, for the choice of which implant shoulder diameter and prosthetic reconstruction to use.

Analyze the implant positions three-dimensionally on the study model.

- 2.1.1 Interproximal distance of bone
- 2.1.2 Bucco-lingual (or bucco-palatal) width of bone
- 2.1.3 Inter-occlusal distance

Important considerations when planning implants and final restorations.

Ask your dental technician to create a diagnostic wax-up and surgical drill template.


Use calipers and the Straumann® Diagnostic T on the study model to estimate the space available for the future prosthetic reconstruction.

Assess the 3D space for the implants on the study model.



2.1.1 Interproximal distance of bone

When planning for a **3-unit implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis**, the interproximal bone availability is an important factor for choosing the implant types and diameters.



In a multiple tooth gap or free-end situation, a **minimal distance of 1.5 mm of bone** from the implant to the adjacent tooth **at bone level** (mesial and distal) is required. This will help to preserve bone and soft tissue to create a restoration with adequate emergence profile and soft tissue attachment to support oral hygiene measures and esthetics.

Reduced horizontal distance between tooth and implant can lead to bone resorption at adjacent teeth and reduced ability to clean around the restoration.

For a 3-unit implant-supported fixed dental prosthesis in the posterior area, additional space for the **pontic** should be respected in the calculations?

- The approximate diameter of a **premolar is 7.0 mm**.
- The approximate diameter of a **molar is 11.0 mm**.

Minimum distance of bone from implant to adjacent tooth at bone level: 1.5 mm

For a 3-unit implant-supported FDP respect additional space for the pontic:

- approx. \emptyset of a premolar: 7 mm
- approx. \emptyset of a molar: 11 mm



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis




The minimum distance from the bone level of the adjacent tooth to the implant's central axis depends on the shoulder or platform of the implant used. These numbers have been rounded up in the calculations.

With **Standard Plus (SP) Implants**, this distance is approximately:

- **4 mm** for Regular Neck (RN) Implants with \varnothing 4.8 mm shoulder diameter.
- **5 mm** for Wide Neck (WN) Implants with \varnothing 6.5 mm shoulder diameter.

SP (RN and WN) Implants



Example of a 3-unit implant-supported FDP with SP Implants with Regular Neck (RN) \varnothing 4.8 mm shoulder diameter.

→ [Chart of minimum widths of bone for planning which SP Implants \(RN/WN\) to use](#)

Minimum distance from the bone level of adjacent tooth to the implant's central axis:

SP Implants:

- 4 mm for RN platform
- 5 mm for WN platform


BLT Implants:

- 4 mm for RC platform

With **Bone Level Tapered (BLT) Implants**, this distance is approximately:

- **4 mm** for Regular CrossFit® (RC) Implants with \varnothing 4.1 mm or \varnothing 4.8 mm shoulder diameter.

BLT (RC) Implants



Example of a 3-unit implant-supported FDP with BLT Implants with Regular CrossFit® (RC) \varnothing 4.1 mm endosteal and shoulder diameter.

→ [Chart of minimum widths of bone for planning which BLT Implants \(RC\) to use](#)

Chart for reference for SP Implants

Chart for reference for BLT Implants

Caution: We do not recommend the use of a \varnothing 3.3 mm endosteal diameter implant in the posterior region due to the strength of masticatory forces in this area.

Caution: If the space is too small for a 3-unit implant supported bridge, consider placing two adjacent implants with a minimal distance of 3 mm between the implants at bone level.



Assessment and treatment planning


Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis



A minimal distance of **3 mm between two adjacent implants** is required at bone level. This minimal distance is mandatory to facilitate flap adaptation, avoid proximity of secondary components and provide adequate space for maintenance and home-care.

Minimum distance between two adjacent implants at bone level: 3 mm



Step-by-step interproximal space calculation on study models:



⚠ Caution: You should ask your dental technician for a diagnostic wax-up to be provided with the study models. This will help in the assessment of the interproximal space available for a 3-unit implant-supported bridge.




You may also use calipers to measure the mesio-distal width of the corresponding teeth in the adjacent quadrant if available. Check if this same width is present in the multiple tooth gap.



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis



1. Use the [Straumann® Diagnostic T](#) on the study model to determine the minimal distance between implant and adjacent tooth for [Straumann® Standard Plus \(SP\) Implants](#). Mark the central position of the more anterior implant on the study model.


Use the Straumann® Diagnostic T on the study model to determine the minimum distance between implant and adjacent tooth.

⚠ Caution: Currently, a Diagnostic T for Straumann® Bone Level Tapered (BLT) Implants is not available.



2. You can use a pair of calipers to locate the position of the posterior implant.

Use a pair of calipers to locate the posterior implant position.





Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis




2.1.2 Bucco-lingual (or bucco-palatal) width of bone

The facial and palatal bone wall must be **at least 1 mm** thick in order to ensure stable hard and soft tissue conditions.

For example, if the planned implant site has a bucco-lingual bone width of 6.5 mm, then you are able to use a 4.1 mm endosteal diameter [Standard Plus](#) or [Bone Level Tapered Implant](#).

Minimum thickness of bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal bone wall in posterior areas: 1 mm



➔ For SP Implants, please refer to this chart for the minimum bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width.

➔ For BLT Implants, please refer to this chart for the minimum bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width.

Charts for reference

⚠ Caution: If the available orofacial bone is thinner than 1 mm or a layer of bone is missing on one or more sides around the planned implant site, a bone augmentation procedure is indicated. This technique should be employed only by dentists who have adequate experience in the use of augmentation procedures. If it only becomes apparent during surgery that this minimum distance is not present, it is recommended to close the flap and refer the patient to a specialist or colleague with adequate experience in GBR (Guided Bone Regeneration) procedures.

Refer cases which require GBR to more experienced colleagues.



Assessment and treatment planning


Step 2 | Treatment planning

2. Study model analysis



2.1.3 Inter-occlusal distance

The **inter-occlusal distance** is the distance from the future implant shoulder to the opposing tooth. The minimum distance is about **7 mm for cement-retained restorations** and about **4 mm for screw-retained restorations**.



Space requirements depend on the design of the restoration, including the selection of abutments and crowns. We recommend that you discuss with your [dental technician](#) during treatment planning in order to have a restoratively-driven orofacial

implant position and axis. The presence of overeruption of the opposing dentition or the presence of cross-bites can reduce the space available for the final restoration.

⚠ Caution: If the available space is insufficient due to overeruption of the opposing teeth, depending on the extent of available space, minimal enameloplasty, orthodontic intervention, elective endodontics, crown lengthening, and crown in the opposing quadrant may be indicated. Such restorative cases should be handled only by clinicians who are experienced in treating advanced or complex cases.

Minimum inter-occlusal distance required:

≥ 7 mm for cement-retained restorations
≥ 4 mm for screw-retained restorations

For optimal results, discuss the final restoration with your dental technician.



3. Radiographic planning



The vertical bone availability determines the maximum allowable length of the implant that can be placed and can be assessed on a radiographic image.


3.1 Outline important landmarks

Outline important landmarks on the periapical and panoramic radiograph using a transparent foil or paper and a pencil.

Outline important landmarks on the radiographs.



[Video: Treatment planning with the Straumann® X-ray Template](#)



3.2 Evaluate and assess

3.2.1 Adjacent anatomical structures when determining available bone height



Note the proximity of this implant to the mesial root of the molar

Inclination and condition of adjacent roots

Convergent adjacent roots may compromise available interproximal space. Respect this root anatomy to avoid injury/interference during implant placement. Injury of adjacent teeth during implant placement can result in root canal treatment and/or damage to the root that leads to further tooth loss.


Consider the anatomical shape of adjacent tooth roots.



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning


3. Radiographic planning



Note the proximity of the sinus floor to the apex of the implant

Landmarks in the maxilla such as the sinus floor: A safety distance of **2 mm** is recommended from the floor of the sinus to the apex of the implant. Straumann® Smart users are advised to treat straightforward cases only. If this minimum distance of bone is not available, the patient should be referred to a clinician with adequate training and experience in sinus floor elevation (SFE) procedures.

Maintain a minimum safety distance of 2 mm from the implant to the maxillary sinus floor.




Note the anatomical landmarks in the mandible

Landmarks in the mandible: Inferior alveolar nerve, mental foramen and submandibular fossa/concavity. A safety distance of **2 mm** of bone between apex of the implant and neurovascular structures should be respected.

Maintain a minimum safety distance of 2 mm from the implant to the mandibular canal or mental foramen.

⚠ Caution: The loss of bone height due to ridge flattening during surgery must always be considered.

3.2.2 Interproximal distance of bone at the crestal bone level (interradicular space)



- The distance of bone between 2 teeth measured at bone level.

Pay attention to the interproximal distance of bone at bone level.

3.2.3 Bone quality

- Less dense or Type-IV bone may indicate a preference to use the [Bone Level Tapered Implant](#) for better primary stability.

Bone which appears less dense in the radiograph indicates soft bone quality.




Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

3. Radiographic planning



3.3 Measure the available vertical bone height and select the appropriate implant type, diameters and lengths



This can be done with the help of the [Straumann® X-ray Reference Sphere](#) and [X-ray Templates](#) for Straumann® Standard Plus Implants and Straumann® Bone Level Tapered Implants.


Use the Straumann® X-ray Template corresponding to the type of implants chosen.

Distortions can occur in X-rays, hence the implant dimensions shown on these individual templates are designed with the corresponding distortion factors (1:1 to 1.7:1).

Determining each magnification factor or scale is facilitated by showing the X-ray Reference Sphere on the template (next to the scale reference).




[Video: Treatment planning with the Straumann® X-ray Template](#)



3.3.1 Compare the size of the X-ray Reference Sphere*

First, compare the size of each Straumann® X-ray Reference Sphere* on the patient's X-ray with the size of the Reference Sphere on the template (marked with arrow). Superimpose the two pictures to find the correct scale. Then, determine the spatial relations around the implant.

*The X-ray Reference Sphere has a diameter of 5 mm. The image of the sphere on the X-ray provides the reference value for the magnification scale.



(049.076V4) = Ø 6.0 mm
Straumann® Bone Level Tapered Implant

1.2 : 1

Example:
Scale 1.2 : 1 = Reference Sphere
Ø 6.0 mm

Use the Straumann® X-ray Template and compare with the Straumann® X-ray Reference Sphere in the radiograph to determine the correct magnification factor.

3.3.2 Bone availability (X-ray):

On the X-ray, measure the height of the available bone from the crest of the edentulous ridge to the anatomical landmarks that limit the placement of the implants. Make sure you do this measurement in the line of the axis of the proposed implants.



Calculation of bone availability

To calculate the effective vertical bone availability, use the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{X-ray Reference Sphere 5 mm} \times \text{bone availability (X-ray)*}}{\text{Reference Sphere diameter on the X-ray}} = \text{Effective bone availability}$$

Use this formula to calculate the available vertical bone.

* Taking into consideration all implant-related anatomic structures (e.g. mandibular canal, sinus maxillaris, etc.)


$$\frac{5 \text{ mm} \times 13 \text{ mm}}{6 \text{ mm}} = 10,8 \text{ mm}$$

For example, if the measured bone availability and Reference Sphere diameter on the X-ray are 13 mm and 6 mm (+ 20 % distortion), respectively:

The effective bone availability would be 10.8 mm, therefore you would choose an implant length of 10 mm.

⚠ Caution: The loss of bone height due to ridge flattening during surgery must always be considered.

3.3.3 Consider the additional length of the drill tip




Due to the construction and function of the drills, the drill tip is a maximum of 0.4 mm longer than the implant insertion depth. This additional length must be taken into consideration during the planning phase.

The tip of the drill is up to 0.4 mm longer than the implant insertion depth.




3.3.4 Consider the coronoapical (vertical) implant position



The [Standard Plus Implant](#) with its smooth neck collar of 1.8 mm should be submerged in the bone as far as the margin of the rough implant surface. It can be placed slightly deeper, but the preparation depth must be increased accordingly.

Consider the implant's vertical position in the bone.

The [Bone Level Tapered Implant](#) is best placed with the outer rim of the small 45° sloping edge (chamfer) at bone level.






4. Risk assessment

After collecting all relevant information of the patient history and after performing a comprehensive clinical and radiographic examination and study model analysis, assess the complexity and risks associated with placing an implant in your patient's mouth.

The SAC classification system developed by the ITI (International Team for Implantology) differentiates between straightforward, advanced and complex cases. The assessment for the surgical and restorative part of implant placement takes into consideration the patient, the site of implantation and the processes involved.

Use the SAC classification tool to determine if the case is straightforward.



You can perform this risk assessment with the help of the online SAC Tool which you can find at the [ITI Online Academy](#).

This free and helpful tool allows you to decide whether to treat the case by yourself, or whether a referral is required.



Straumann® Smart users are advised to treat **straightforward** cases only, such as:

- Multiple tooth gaps (including free-end situations) in the posterior non-esthetic zone
- Healthy patient (ASA³-1: a normal healthy patient; or ASA³-2, a patient with mild systemic disease) with undisturbed wound healing capability
- Good patient motivation and compliance, preferably a non-smoker
- No active periodontitis or occlusal parafunction
- Healed ridge (≥ 5 months post-extraction) with sufficient bone volume
- Conventional loading protocol (≥ 3 months after implant placement)
- Sufficient mesio-distal width of bone for the regular neck platform (RN or RC) implants or wide neck platform (WN) implants
- Sufficient bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal bone width and height for placing implants without the need for bone augmentation
- Sufficient inter-occlusal distance for the prosthetic restoration
- Minimum vertical oral opening space of 30 mm to allow access with surgical instruments

Ensure that the prerequisites of a straightforward implant case are fulfilled.

⚠ Caution: Any pathologic condition such as caries, periapical infections, temporomandibular joint disorders or oral mucosal lesions should be treated prior to implant placement.

In cases of residual probing depths (PD) ≥ 5 mm with concomitant bleeding on probing, full-mouth plaque scores $> 20\%$, and associated risk factors, pre-treatment and periodontal re-evaluation are mandatory before implant placement.



5. Visit planning and cost proposal



The goal of the treatment is to satisfy the patient's demands regarding chewing comfort and esthetics, with a favorable long-term prognosis of the restoration. To reach this goal, you will need to:

5.1 Establish a diagnosis and prognosis of the remaining dentition to discuss with the patient

Prepare a diagnosis and treatment plan for the discussion with your patient.

5.2 Elaborate a treatment plan and calculate the amount of chairside time per visit and total number of visits required by the patient

Include chairside time and total number of patient visits in the treatment plan.

- All diseases in the oral cavity (hard and soft tissue) have to be treated before implant placement starts.
- In case of insufficient oral hygiene or active periodontal disease, additional dental treatment may be needed before starting with the implant procedure, and should be calculated into the cost proposal. Consider this when:
 - the patient presents with poor oral hygiene
 - there is active periodontal disease, requiring professional plaque removal along with scaling and root planing
 - there are teeth with hopeless prognosis which have to be extracted
 - there is active caries
 - there are failing endodontically treated teeth
 - there are stomatological lesions

At the end of the proposed additional dental treatment, you should re-evaluate and make a decision regarding the subsequent steps for implant treatment.



5.3 Calculate costs and write up a cost proposal


Analyze and calculate the approximate total costs for the surgical treatment in comparison to alternative treatment modalities.

- Dental implants are a cost-effective alternative to conventional restorations and improve the quality of life of the patient⁴.

A cost estimate is important for discussion with the patient.

Chart of minimum widths of bone for planning which SP Implants (RN/WN) to use

SP (RN and WN) Implants




$$B_{\min} = \frac{D1}{2} + \text{min. width of pontic} + \frac{D2}{2}$$

Shoulder diameter D1(mm)	Shoulder diameter D2(mm)	A _{min} (mm)	B _{min} (mm) premolar pontic	B _{min} (mm) molar pontic	C _{min} (mm)	L _{min} (mm) premolar	L _{min} (mm) molar
∅ 4.8 (RN)	∅ 4.8 (RN)	4	12	16	4	20	24
∅ 4.8 (RN)	∅ 6.5 (WN)	4	13	17	5	22	26
∅ 6.5 (WN)	∅ 6.5 (WN)	5	13.5	17.5	5	23.5	27.5

Assuming min. width of pontic is 7.0 mm for premolar and 11.0 mm for molar, calculations have been rounded up to nearest 0.5 mm.

Chart of minimum widths of bone for planning which BLT Implants (RC) to use




$$B_{min} = \frac{D1}{2} + \text{min. width of pontic} + \frac{D2}{2}$$

Shoulder diameter D1(mm)	Shoulder diameter D2(mm)	A _{min} (mm)	B _{min} (mm) premolar pontic	B _{min} (mm) molar pontic	C _{min} (mm)	L _{min} (mm) premolar	L _{min} (mm) molar
∅ 4.1 (RC)	∅ 4.1 (RC)	4	11	15	4	19	23
∅ 4.1 (RC)	∅ 4.8 (RC)	4	11.5	15.5	4	19.5	23.5
∅ 4.8 (RC)	∅ 4.8 (RC)	4	12	16	4	20	24


Assuming min. width of pontic is 7.0 mm for premolar and 11.0 mm for molar, calculations have been rounded up to nearest 0.5 mm.

Chart for the minimum bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width of bone for SP Implants



Implant type (endosteal diameter)	Shoulder diameter (mm)	Bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width of bone (mm)	Recommended use for Straumann® SmartMulti cases
SP Ø 3.3 mm RN 	4.8	5.5	For narrow interdental spaces and narrow partially or fully edentulous bone ridges. Caution/Precaution: Small-diameter implants are not recommended for the posterior region. Specific indications for Titanium SLA® Ø 3.3 mm Standard Plus RN Implants: These implants are to be used only in cases for the following indications: • Partially dentate jaws with implant-borne, fixed constructions: Combine with implants Ø 4.1 mm and splint the suprastructure.
SP Ø 4.1 mm RN 	4.8	6	For use in the maxilla and mandible, for restoration of partially or fully edentulous patients.
SP Ø 4.8 mm RN 	4.8	7	For use in the maxilla and mandible, for restoration of partially or fully edentulous patients in wide interdental spaces and bony ridges.
SP Ø 4.8 mm WN 	6.5	7	

Chart for the minimum bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width of bone for BLT Implants



For BLT Implants, the shoulder diameter is the same as the endosteal diameter at bone level.

Implant type (endosteal diameter)	Shoulder diameter (mm)	Bucco-lingual or bucco-palatal width of bone (mm)	Recommended use for Straumann® SmartMulti cases
BLT Ø 3.3 mm NC 	3.3	5.5	For narrow interdental spaces and narrow partially or fully edentulous bone ridges. Caution/Precaution: Small-diameter implants are not recommended for the posterior region.
BLT Ø 4.1 mm RC 	4.1	6	For use in the maxilla and mandible, for restoration of partially or fully edentulous patients.
BLT Ø 4.8 mm RC 	4.8	7	For use in the maxilla and mandible, for restoration of partially or fully edentulous patients in wide interdental spaces and bony ridges.



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

REFERENCES

- 1 Buser D, von Arx T, ten Bruggenkate C, Weingart D. Basic surgical principles with ITI implants. Clin Oral Impl Res 2000; 11 (Suppl.): 59–68. C Munksgaard 2000.
- 2 Major M. Ash, Stanley Nelson. Wheeler's Dental Anatomy, Physiology and Occlusion. 10th Edition, 2010 Saunders/Elsevier.
- 3 American Society of Anesthesiologists® Physical Status Classification System, <http://www.asahq.org/resources/clinical-information/asa-physical-status-classification-system>.
- 4 Vogel R, Smith-Palmer J, Valentine W. Evaluating the Health Economic Implications and Cost-Effectiveness of Dental Implants: A Literature Review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2013;28:343–356. doi: 10.11607/jomi.2921.



Assessment and treatment planning

Step 2 | Treatment planning

DISCLAIMER

Straumann® Smart is a blended training and education program focused on the education of general dentists who want to become surgically active in the field of dental implantology. The program is limited to information pertaining to straightforward implant cases and focuses on a reduced portfolio of products that are suitable for the treatment of such cases.

All clinical Straumann® Smart content – such as texts, medical record forms, pictures and videos – was created in collaboration with Prof. Dr. Christoph Hämmerle, Prof. Dr. Ronald Jung, Dr. Francine Brandenburg-Lustenberger and Dr. Alain Fontollet from the University of Zürich, Clinic for Fixed and Removable Prosthodontics and Dental Material Science, Switzerland.

Straumann does not give any guarantee that Straumann® Smart provides sufficient knowledge or instruction for the dental professional to become surgically active in the field of implantology. It is the dental professional's sole responsibility to ensure that he/she has the appropriate knowledge and instruction before placing dental implants.

Straumann® Smart does not replace a careful and thorough analysis of each individual patient by a dental professional. Further, it does not imply any guarantee or warranty with regard to completeness of the information provided to the patient. It does not replace the dental professional's duty to inform the patient about the treatment, the products and the risks involved and to receive the patient's informed consent. The dental professional is solely responsible for determining whether or not a treatment or product is suitable for a particular patient and circumstances. Knowledge of dental implantology and instruction in the handling of the relevant products is always necessary and the sole responsibility of the dental professional. The dental professional must always comply with the individual product's Instructions For Use as well as all laws and regulations.

STRAUMANN DISCLAIMS, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE BY LAW, ANY LIABILITY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AND BEARS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, CONSEQUENTIAL OR OTHER DAMAGES, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED TO PATIENTS, ERRORS IN PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT, IN PRODUCT CHOICES OR PRACTICE IN THE USE OR INSTALLATION OF STRAUMANN PRODUCTS.

All clinical content as well as clinical and radiographic images are provided by courtesy of Prof. Dr. Christoph Hämmerle, Prof. Dr. Ronald Jung, Dr. Francine Brandenburg-Lustenberger and Dr. Alain Fontollet from the University of Zürich, Clinic for Fixed and Removable Prosthodontics and Dental Material Science, Switzerland.

International Headquarters

Institut Straumann AG

Peter Merian-Weg 12

CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland

Phone +41 (0)61 965 11 11

Fax +41 (0)61 965 11 01

www.straumann.com

© Institut Straumann AG, 2016. All rights reserved.

Straumann® and/or other trademarks and logos from Straumann® mentioned herein are the trademarks or registered trademarks of Straumann Holding AG and/or its affiliates.